

# A GENERALIZATION OF THE $\mathbb{G}_0$ DICHOTOMY AND A STRENGTHENING OF THE $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ DICHOTOMY

BENJAMIN D. MILLER

ABSTRACT. We generalize the  $\mathbb{G}_0$  dichotomy to doubly-indexed sequences of analytic digraphs. Under a mild definability assumption, we use this generalization to characterize the family of Borel actions of tsi Polish groups on Polish spaces that can be decomposed into countably-many Borel actions admitting complete Borel sets that are lacunary with respect to an open neighborhood of the identity. We also show that if the group in question is non-archimedean, then the inexistence of such a decomposition yields a special kind of continuous embedding of  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  into the corresponding orbit equivalence relation.

## INTRODUCTION

A *digraph* on a set  $X$  is an irreflexive set  $G \subseteq X \times X$ . The *restriction* of such a digraph to a set  $Y \subseteq X$  is given by  $G \upharpoonright Y = G \cap (Y \times Y)$ . A set  $Y \subseteq X$  is  *$G$ -independent* if  $G \upharpoonright Y = \emptyset$ . A  *$Z$ -coloring* of  $G$  is a function  $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(\{z\})$  is  $G$ -independent for all  $z \in Z$ .

A *homomorphism* from a binary relation  $R$  on  $X$  to a binary relation  $S$  on  $Y$  is a function  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $w R x \implies \phi(w) S \phi(x)$  for all  $w, x \in X$ . A *homomorphism* from a sequence  $(R_i)_{i \in I}$  of binary relations on  $X$  to a sequence  $(S_i)_{i \in I}$  of binary relations on  $Y$  is a function  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  that is a homomorphism from  $R_i$  to  $S_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

For all sets  $N$ , let  $X^N$  denote the set of functions  $s: N \rightarrow X$ , and define  $X^{<\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X^n$  and  $X^{\leq \mathbb{N}} = X^{<\mathbb{N}} \cup X^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Given  $M \subseteq N$ ,  $s \in X^M$ , and  $t \in X^N$ , we write  $s \sqsubseteq t$  to indicate that  $s = t \upharpoonright M$ . For all  $x \in X$ , let  $(x)$  denote the element of  $X^1$  sending 0 to  $x$ . Let  $s \frown t$  denote the concatenation of sequences  $s \in X^{<\mathbb{N}}$  and  $t \in X^{\leq \mathbb{N}}$ .

Fix  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} k_{n+1} \leq \max\{k_m \mid m \leq n\} + 1$ , and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} k = k_n$ , as well as sequences  $s_n \in 2^n$  such that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}} \exists n \in \mathbb{N} (k = k_n \text{ and } s \sqsubseteq s_n)$ . For all  $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$ , let  $\mathbb{G}_s$  denote the digraph on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  given by  $\mathbb{G}_s = \{(s \frown (i) \frown c)_{i < 2} \mid c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03E15, 28A05.

*Key words and phrases*. Chromatic number, essentially countable, lacunary.

The author was supported in part by FWF Grants P28153 and P29999.

For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbb{G}_{0,k}$  denote the digraph on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  given by  $\mathbb{G}_{0,k} = \bigcup \{\mathbb{G}_{s_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k = k_n\}$ .

Endow  $\mathbb{N}$  with the discrete topology, and  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the corresponding product topology. A topological space is *analytic* if it is a continuous image of a closed subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and *Polish* if it is separable and admits a compatible complete metric. A subset of a topological space is *Borel* if it is in the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open sets, and *co-analytic* if its complement is analytic. Every Polish space is analytic (see, for example, [Kec95, Theorem 7.9]), and Souslin's theorem ensures that a subset of an analytic Hausdorff space is Borel if and only if it is analytic and co-analytic (see, for example, the proof of [Kec95, 14.11]). A function between topological spaces is *Borel* if preimages of open sets are Borel.

A sequence  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of sets is *increasing in  $j$*  if  $X_{i,j} \subseteq X_{i,j+1}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . A digraph  $G$  on a topological space  $X$  has *countable Borel chromatic number*, or  $\chi_B(G) \leq \aleph_0$ , if there is a Borel  $\mathbb{N}$ -coloring of  $G$ . Our first result generalizes Kechris–Solecki–Todorcevic's characterization of the existence of such colorings (see [KST99, Theorem 6.3]):

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $(G_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing-in- $j$  sequence of analytic digraphs on  $X$ . Then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (1) *There are Borel sets  $B_i \subseteq X$  with the property that  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i$  and  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \chi_B(G_{i,j} \upharpoonright B_i) \leq \aleph_0$ .*
- (2) *There exist a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(G_{k,f(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

We use  $1_\Gamma$  to denote the identity element of a group  $\Gamma$ . The *orbit equivalence relation* induced by a group action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is the equivalence relation on  $X$  given by  $x E_\Gamma^X y \iff \exists \gamma \in \Gamma \gamma \cdot x = y$ . More generally, the *orbit relation* associated with a set  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  is the binary relation on  $X$  given by  $x R_\Delta^X y \iff \exists \delta \in \Delta \delta \cdot x = y$ . A set  $Y \subseteq X$  is  $\Delta$ -*lacunary* if  $y R_\Delta^X z \implies y = z$  for all  $y, z \in Y$ , and  $E_\Gamma^X$ -*complete* if  $X = \Gamma Y$ .

We say that a Borel action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  of an analytic Hausdorff group on an analytic Hausdorff space is *(Borel)  $\sigma$ -lacunary* if there exist a sequence  $(\Delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open neighborhoods of  $1_\Gamma$  and a cover  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  by  $E_\Gamma^X$ -invariant Borel sets with the property that there is a  $\Delta_n$ -lacunary  $E_\Gamma^{X_n}$ -complete Borel set  $B_n \subseteq X_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A topological group is *tsi* if it has a compatible two-sided-invariant metric. Klee has shown that a Hausdorff group  $\Gamma$  is tsi if and only if there is a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$  consisting of conjugation-invariant open sets (see [Kle52, 1.5]). A topological group is *cli* if it has a

compatible complete left-invariant metric, or equivalently, a compatible complete right-invariant metric (see, for example, [Bec98, Proposition 3.A.2]). It is well known that every tsi group is cli (see, for example, [BK96, Corollary 1.2.2]). Our second result characterizes the class of  $\sigma$ -lacunary Borel actions of tsi Polish groups on Polish spaces:

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a cli Polish group,  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$ ,  $X$  is a Polish space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a Borel action with the property that  $R_\Delta^X$  is Borel for all open sets  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (1) *The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary.*
- (2) *There exist a subsequence  $(\Delta'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(R_{\Delta'_k}^X \setminus R_{\Delta'_{k+1}}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Moreover, if  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing sequence of conjugation-invariant sets, then exactly one of these conditions holds.*

Following the usual abuse of language, we say that an equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$  is *countable* if  $|[x]_E| \leq \aleph_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . We use  $=_X$  and  $\neq_X$  to denote the equality and inequality relations on  $X$ , as well as  $\mathbb{E}_0$  to denote the equivalence relation on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  given by  $c \mathbb{E}_0 d \iff \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \geq n \ c(m) = d(m)$ . The *product* of equivalence relations  $E_n$  on  $X_n$ , for  $n \in N$ , is the equivalence relation  $\prod_{n \in N} E_n$  on  $\prod_{n \in N} X_n$  given by  $(x_n)_{n \in N} (\prod_{n \in N} E_n) (y_n)_{n \in N} \iff \forall n \in N \ x_n E_n y_n$ . When  $N = 2$ , we use  $E_0 \times E_1$  to denote the product. In the further special case that there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a set  $X$  for which  $X_0 = X^n$  and  $X_1 = X^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we will abuse notation by identifying  $E_0 \times E_1$  with the equivalence relation on  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  obtained via the obvious identification of  $X^n \times X^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The  *$N$ -fold power* of an equivalence relation  $E$  is given by  $E^N = \prod_{n \in N} E$ .

A *reduction* of a binary relation  $R$  to a binary relation  $S$  is a homomorphism from  $(R, \sim R)$  to  $(S, \sim S)$ . An *embedding* of  $R$  into  $S$  is an injective reduction of  $R$  to  $S$ .

Given a Borel action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  of a Polish group on a Polish space for which  $E_\Gamma^X$  is Borel, we say that  $E_\Gamma^X$  is *essentially countable* if it is Borel reducible to a countable Borel equivalence relation on a Polish space. It is easy to see that if  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary, then  $E_\Gamma^X$  is essentially countable (see Proposition 2.7). It is well known that  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ —which is clearly the orbit equivalence relation induced by a continuous action of the abelian Polish group  $((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{<\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ —is not essentially countable (see the remarks preceding Proposition 3.8).

A topological group  $\Gamma$  is *non-archimedean* if there is a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$  consisting of open subgroups. It follows that a Hausdorff group  $\Gamma$  is both non-archimedean and tsi if and only if there is

a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$  consisting of normal open subgroups (see, for example, [GX14, §2]). Our third result strengthens Hjorth–Kechris’s theorem that if  $\Gamma$  is a non-archimedean tsi Polish group,  $X$  is a Polish space,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is Borel, and  $E_\Gamma^X$  is Borel, then either  $E_\Gamma^X$  is essentially countable or there is a continuous embedding of  $\mathbb{E}_0^\mathbb{N}$  into  $E_\Gamma^X$  (see [HK01, Theorem 8.1]):

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a non-archimedean tsi Polish group,  $(\Gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of open subgroups of  $\Gamma$ ,  $X$  is a Polish space,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is Borel, and  $E_\Gamma^X$  is Borel. Then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (1) *The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary.*
- (2) *There is a continuous embedding  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathbb{E}_0^\mathbb{N}$  into  $E_\Gamma^X$  that is a homomorphism from  $((=_{2^\mathbb{N}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^\mathbb{N})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(E_{\Gamma_k}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

In §1, we establish Theorem 1. In §2, we consider the connection between  $\sigma$ -lacunarity and condition (1) of Theorem 1. In §3, we describe ways of refining condition (2) of Theorem 1. And in §4, we establish Theorems 2 and 3.

## 1. A GENERALIZATION OF THE $\mathbb{G}_0$ DICHOTOMY

A set  $Z$  *separates* a set  $X$  from a set  $Y$  if  $X \subseteq Z$  and  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Given sets  $X' \subseteq X$ ,  $Y' \subseteq Y$ , and  $R \subseteq X \times Y$ , we say that  $(X', Y')$  is  *$R$ -independent* if  $R \cap (X' \times Y') = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff spaces,  $A_X \subseteq X$ ,  $A_Y \subseteq Y$ , and  $R \subseteq X \times Y$  are analytic, and  $(A_X, A_Y)$  is  $R$ -independent. Then there are Borel sets  $B_X \subseteq X$  and  $B_Y \subseteq Y$  for which  $A_X \subseteq B_X$ ,  $A_Y \subseteq B_Y$ , and  $(B_X, B_Y)$  is  $R$ -independent.*

*Proof.* As the  $R$ -independence of  $(A_X, A_Y)$  ensures that  $A_X$  is disjoint from  $\text{proj}_X((X \times A_Y) \cap R)$ , and the latter set can be expressed as  $\text{proj}_X((\text{proj}_X(R) \times A_Y) \cap R)$ —and is therefore analytic (see, for example, the proof of [Kec95, Proposition 14.4])—Lusin’s separation theorem (see, for example, the proof of [Kec95, Theorem 14.7]) yields a Borel set  $B_X \subseteq X$  separating  $A_X$  from  $\text{proj}_X((X \times A_Y) \cap R)$ . Then  $(B_X, A_Y)$  is  $R$ -independent, so  $A_Y$  is disjoint from  $\text{proj}_Y((B_X \times Y) \cap R)$ , and since the latter set is analytic, another application of Lusin’s separation theorem yields a Borel set  $B_Y \subseteq Y$  separating  $A_Y$  from  $\text{proj}_Y((B_X \times Y) \cap R)$ , in which case  $(B_X, B_Y)$  is  $R$ -independent.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a Hausdorff space,  $G$  is an analytic digraph on  $X$ , and  $A \subseteq X$  is a  $G$ -independent analytic set. Then there is a  $G$ -independent Borel set  $B \subseteq X$  for which  $A \subseteq B$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.1, there are Borel sets  $B_i \subseteq X$  such that  $A \subseteq B_i$  for all  $i < 2$  and  $(B_i)_{i < 2}$  is  $G$ -independent. Set  $B = \bigcap_{i < 2} B_i$ .  $\square$

Given sets  $M \subseteq N$  and a sequence  $s \in 2^M$ , let  $\mathcal{N}_s$  denote the set of sequences  $c \in 2^N$  for which  $s \sqsubseteq c$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* To see that conditions (1) and (2) are mutually exclusive, suppose that both hold, and fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\phi^{-1}(B_i)$  is non-meager, as well as a Borel coloring  $\pi: B_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of  $G_{i,f(i)} \upharpoonright B_i$ . Then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the set  $C = (\pi \circ \phi)^{-1}(\{m\})$  is non-meager. Fix  $s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $C$  is comeager in  $\mathcal{N}_s$  (see, for example, [Kec95, Proposition 8.26]), as well as  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $i = k_n$  and  $s \sqsubseteq s_n$ . Define  $\iota: \mathcal{N}_{s_n \wedge (0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{s_n \wedge (1)}$  by  $\iota(s_n \wedge (0) \wedge c) = s_n \wedge (1) \wedge c$ , for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . As  $\iota$  is a homeomorphism, the set  $C \cap \iota^{-1}(C)$  is comeager in  $\mathcal{N}_{s_n \wedge (0)}$  (see, for example, [Kec95, Exercise 8.45]). But if  $c \in C \cap \iota^{-1}(C)$ , then  $\phi(c) \in (G_{i,f(i)} \upharpoonright B_i)$ ,  $(\phi \circ \iota)(c) \in (G_{i,f(i)} \upharpoonright B_i)$  and  $(\pi \circ \phi)(c) = (\pi \circ \phi \circ \iota)(c) = m$ , contradicting the fact that  $\pi$  is a coloring of  $G_{i,f(i)} \upharpoonright B_i$ .

It remains to show that at least one of conditions (1) and (2) holds. We can assume that, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  with the property that  $G_{i,j} \neq \emptyset$ , since otherwise condition (1) holds trivially. By removing a finite initial segment of  $(G_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can therefore assume that  $G_{i,j} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , in which case there are continuous surjections  $\psi_{i,j}: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow G_{i,j}$ , for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $\text{proj}_k$  denote projection onto the  $k^{\text{th}}$  coordinate, it similarly follows that there is a continuous surjection  $\phi_X: \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \bigcup_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, k < 2} \text{proj}_k(G_{i,j})$ .

We will recursively define decreasing sequences  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of Borel subsets of  $X$  such that  $X_{i,j}^{\alpha} \subseteq X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1}$  and  $\chi_B(G_{i,j} \upharpoonright \sim X_{i,j}^{\alpha}) \leq \aleph_0$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\chi_B(G_{i,j} \upharpoonright \sim \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,k}^{\alpha}) \leq \aleph_0$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus condition (1) holds if and only if it holds on  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}^{\alpha}$ . We begin by setting  $X_{i,j}^0 = X$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $X_{i,j}^{\lambda} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} X_{i,j}^{\alpha}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and limit ordinals  $\lambda < \omega_1$ . To describe the construction of  $X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1}$  from  $X_{i,j}^{\alpha}$ , we require several preliminaries.

We say that a quadruple  $a = (n^a, f^a, \phi^a, (\psi_n^a)_{n < n^a})$  is an *approximation* if  $n^a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^a: \{k_n \mid n < n^a\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi^a: 2^{n^a} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n^a}$ , and  $\psi_n^a: 2^{n^a-1-n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n^a}$  for all  $n < n^a$ . We say that an approximation  $b$  is a *one-step extension* of an approximation  $a$  if:

- $n^a = n^b - 1$ .
- $f^a = f^b \upharpoonright \{k_n \mid n < n^a\}$ .
- $\forall i < 2 \forall s \in 2^{n^a} \phi^a(s) \sqsubseteq \phi^b(s \wedge (i))$ .
- $\forall i < 2 \forall n < n^a \forall s \in 2^{n^a-n-1} \psi_n^a(s) \sqsubseteq \psi_n^b(s \wedge (i))$ .

We say that a quadruple  $\gamma = (n^{\gamma}, f^{\gamma}, \phi^{\gamma}, (\psi_n^{\gamma})_{n < n^{\gamma}})$  is a *configuration* if  $n^{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f^{\gamma}: \{k_n \mid n < n^{\gamma}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi^{\gamma}: 2^{n^{\gamma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n^{\gamma}}$ ,  $\psi_n^{\gamma}: 2^{n^{\gamma}-1-n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n^{\gamma}}$  for

all  $n < n^\gamma$ , and  $(\psi_{k_n, f^\gamma(k_n)} \circ \psi_n^\gamma)(s) = ((\phi_X \circ \phi^\gamma)(s_n \frown (i) \frown s))_{i < 2}$  for all  $n < n^\gamma$  and  $s \in 2^{n^\gamma - n - 1}$ . We say that a configuration  $\gamma$  is *compatible* with an approximation  $a$  if:

- $n^a = n^\gamma$ .
- $f^a = f^\gamma$ .
- $\forall s \in 2^{n^a} \phi^a(s) \sqsubseteq \phi^\gamma(s)$ .
- $\forall n < n^a \forall s \in 2^{n^a - n - 1} \psi_n^a(s) \sqsubseteq \psi_n^\gamma(s)$ .

We say that a configuration  $\gamma$  is *compatible* with a sequence  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of subsets of  $X$  if there is an extension  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of  $f^\gamma$  with the property that  $(\phi_X \circ \phi^\gamma)(2^{n^\gamma}) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i, f(i)}$ . We say that an approximation  $a$  is  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -*terminal* if no configuration is compatible with both a one-step extension of  $a$  and  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $A(a, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$  denote the set of points of the form  $(\phi_X \circ \phi^\gamma)(s_{n^a})$ , where  $\gamma$  varies over configurations compatible with both  $a$  and  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Note that if  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of Borel sets, then  $A(a, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$  is a continuous image of a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ , and is therefore analytic.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Suppose that  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of subsets of  $X$  and  $a$  is an approximation for which  $k_{n^a} \in \text{dom}(f^a)$  and  $A(a, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$  is not  $G_{k_{n^a}, f^a(k_{n^a})}$ -independent. Then  $a$  is not  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal.*

*Proof.* Fix configurations  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ , compatible with  $a$  and  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , for which  $((\phi_X \circ \phi^{\gamma_i})(s_{n^a}))_{i < 2} \in G_{k_{n^a}, f^a(k_{n^a})}$ . Then there exists  $b \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\psi_{k_{n^a}, f^a(k_{n^a})}(b) = ((\phi_X \circ \phi^{\gamma_i})(s_{n^a}))_{i < 2}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the configuration given by  $n^\gamma = n^a + 1$ ,  $f^\gamma = f^a$ ,  $\phi^\gamma(s \frown (i)) = \phi^{\gamma_i}(s)$  for all  $i < 2$  and  $s \in 2^{n^a}$ ,  $\psi_n^\gamma(s \frown (i)) = \psi_n^{\gamma_i}(s)$  for all  $i < 2$ ,  $n < n^a$ , and  $s \in 2^{n^a - n - 1}$ , and  $\psi_{n^a}^\gamma(\emptyset) = b$ . Then the unique approximation with which  $\gamma$  is compatible is a one-step extension of  $a$ , so  $a$  is not  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of subsets of  $X$ ,  $a$  is an approximation for which  $k_{n^a} \notin \text{dom}(f^a)$ , and there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A(a, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$  is not  $G_{k_{n^a}, \ell}$ -independent. Then  $a$  is not  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal.*

*Proof.* Fix configurations  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ , compatible with  $a$  and  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , for which  $((\phi_X \circ \phi^{\gamma_i})(s_{n^a}))_{i < 2} \in G_{k_{n^a}, \ell}$ . By increasing  $\ell$  if necessary, we can assume that  $(\phi_X \circ \phi^{\gamma_0})(2^{n^a}) \cup (\phi_X \circ \phi^{\gamma_1})(2^{n^a}) \subseteq X_{k_{n^a}, \ell}$ . Fix  $b \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\psi_{k_{n^a}, \ell}(b) = ((\phi_X \circ \phi^{\gamma_i})(s_{n^a}))_{i < 2}$ , and let  $\gamma$  be the configuration given by  $n^\gamma = n^a + 1$ ,  $f^\gamma(k) = f^a(k)$  for all  $k < k_{n^a}$ ,  $f^\gamma(k_{n^a}) = \ell$ ,  $\phi^\gamma(s \frown (i)) = \phi^{\gamma_i}(s)$  for all  $i < 2$  and  $s \in 2^{n^a}$ ,  $\psi_n^\gamma(s \frown (i)) = \psi_n^{\gamma_i}(s)$  for all  $i < 2$ ,  $n < n^a$ , and  $s \in 2^{n^a - n - 1}$ , and  $\psi_{n^a}^\gamma(\emptyset) = b$ . Then the unique approximation with which  $\gamma$  is compatible is a one-step extension of  $a$ , so  $a$  is not  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal.  $\square$

As Proposition 1.2 ensures that every  $G_{i,j}$ -independent analytic set is contained in a  $G_{i,j}$ -independent Borel set, Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 imply that if  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of Borel sets and  $a$  is an  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal approximation, then there is a Borel set  $B(a, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}) \supseteq A(a, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$  that is  $G_{k_{n^a}, f^a(k_{n^a})}$ -independent if  $k_{n^a} \in \text{dom}(f^a)$ , and  $G_{k_{n^a}, \ell}$ -independent for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $k_{n^a} \notin \text{dom}(f^a)$ .

We finally define  $X_{k,\ell}^{\alpha+1}$  to be the difference of  $X_{k,\ell}^{\alpha}$  and the union of the sets of the form  $B(a, (X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}})$ , where  $a$  is an  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal approximation,  $k_{n^a} = k$ , and  $f^a(k_{n^a}) \geq \ell$  if  $k_{n^a} \in \text{dom}(f^a)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *Suppose that  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $a$  is an approximation that is not  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal. Then there is a one-step extension of  $a$  that is not  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal.*

*Proof.* Fix a one-step extension  $b$  of  $a$  for which there is a configuration  $\gamma$  compatible with  $b$  and  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Note that if  $k_{n^b} \in \text{dom}(f^b)$ , then  $(\phi_X \circ \phi^\gamma)(s_{n^b}) \in X_{k_{n^b}, f^b(k_{n^b})}^{\alpha+1}$ , so  $A(b, (X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}) \cap X_{k_{n^b}, f^b(k_{n^b})}^{\alpha+1} \neq \emptyset$ , thus  $b$  is not  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal. And if  $k_{n^b} \notin \text{dom}(f^b)$ , then there exists  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $(\phi_X \circ \phi^\gamma)(s_{n^b}) \in X_{k_{n^b}, \ell}^{\alpha+1}$ , so  $A(b, (X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}) \cap X_{k_{n^b}, \ell}^{\alpha+1} \neq \emptyset$ , thus  $b$  is not  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal.  $\square$

Fix  $\alpha < \omega_1$  for which the families of  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ - and  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal approximations are one and the same, and let  $a_0$  be the unique approximation such that  $n^{a_0} = 0$ . Then  $A(a_0, (X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}) = \phi_X(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) \cap \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}$  for all sequences  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of subsets of  $X$ , so if  $a_0$  is  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal, then  $X_{0,\ell}^{\alpha+1} \subseteq X_{0,\ell}^{\alpha} \setminus (\phi_X(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) \cap \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}^{\alpha})$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1} \subseteq (\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} X_{0,\ell}^{\alpha} \setminus (\phi_X(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}) \cap \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}^{\alpha})) \cap \bigcap_{i > 0} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}^{\alpha}$ . As the latter set is disjoint from  $\phi_X(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ , it follows that condition (1) holds on  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i,j}^{\alpha+1}$ , thus condition (1) holds.

Otherwise, by recursively applying Lemma 1.5, we obtain one-step extensions  $a_{n+1}$  of  $a_n$  that are not  $(X_{i,j}^{\alpha})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $f = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{a_n}$ , define  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $\phi(c) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi^{a_n}(c \upharpoonright n)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and define  $\psi_n: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $\psi_n(c) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_n^{a_{n+1+m}}(c \upharpoonright m)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To see that  $\phi_X \circ \phi$  is a homomorphism from  $(G_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(G_{k,f(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we will show that  $(\psi_{k_n, f(k_n)} \circ \psi_n)(c) = ((\phi_X \circ \phi)(s_n \frown (i) \frown c))_{i < 2}$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For this, it is sufficient to show that if  $U \subseteq X \times X$  is an open neighborhood of  $(\psi_{k_n, f(k_n)} \circ \psi_n)(c)$  and  $V \subseteq X \times X$  is an open neighborhood of  $((\phi_X \circ \phi)(s_n \frown (i) \frown c))_{i < 2}$ , then  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Towards this end, fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\psi_{k_n, f(k_n)}(\mathcal{N}_{\psi_n^{a_{n+1+m}}(s)}) \subseteq U$  and  $\prod_{i < 2} \phi_X(\mathcal{N}_{\phi^{a_{n+1+m}}(s_n \frown (i) \frown s)}) \subseteq V$ , where  $s = c \upharpoonright m$ . As  $a_{n+1+m}$  is not

$(X_{i,j}^\alpha)_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ -terminal, there is a configuration  $\gamma$  compatible with  $a_{n+1+m}$ . Then  $(\psi_{k_n, f(k_n)} \circ \psi_n^\gamma)(s) \in U$  and  $((\phi_X \circ \phi^\gamma)(s_n \frown (i) \frown s))_{i < 2} \in V$ , thus  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

## 2. LACUNARY SETS

We provide the proof of the following straightforward observation for the reader's convenience:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a topological group,  $D \subseteq \Gamma$  is dense, and  $U \subseteq \Gamma$  is a non-empty open set. Then  $\Gamma = DU = UD$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\gamma U^{-1}$  and  $U^{-1}\gamma$  are non-empty and open, so  $D \cap \gamma U^{-1}$  and  $U^{-1}\gamma \cap D$  are non-empty, and it follows that  $\gamma \in (D \cap \gamma U^{-1})U \subseteq DU$  and  $\gamma \in U(D \cap U^{-1}\gamma) \subseteq UD$ .  $\square$

We next show that  $\sigma$ -lacunarity yields the corresponding special case of condition (1) in Theorem 1:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is an analytic Hausdorff group,  $(\Delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$  consisting of conjugation-invariant open sets,  $X$  is an analytic Hausdorff space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a  $\sigma$ -lacunary Borel action with the property that  $R_\Delta^X$  is Borel for all open sets  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then there are Borel sets  $B_i \subseteq X$  with the property that  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i$  and  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \chi_B((R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \upharpoonright B_i) \leq \aleph_0$ .*

*Proof.* By breaking  $X$  into countably-many  $E_\Gamma^X$ -invariant Borel sets, we can assume that there is an open neighborhood  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  of  $1_\Gamma$  for which there is a  $\Delta$ -lacunary  $E_\Gamma^X$ -complete Borel set  $B \subseteq X$ .

Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  for which there is an open neighborhood  $\Delta' \subseteq \Gamma$  of  $1_\Gamma$  such that  $(\Delta')^{-1}\Delta_i\Delta' \subseteq \Delta$ . To see that  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \chi_B(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \leq \aleph_0$ , suppose that  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and fix a non-empty open set  $\Delta'' \subseteq \Delta'$  for which  $\Delta''(\Delta'')^{-1} \subseteq \Delta_j$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The set  $\Delta''B$  is  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X)$ -independent.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x'', y'' \in \Delta''B$  are  $R_{\Delta_i}^X$ -related, and fix  $\delta_x'', \delta_y'' \in \Delta''$  for which the points  $x = (\delta_x'')^{-1} \cdot x''$  and  $y = (\delta_y'')^{-1} \cdot y''$  are in  $B$ . Then  $x$  and  $y$  are  $R_{(\Delta'')^{-1}\Delta_i\Delta''}^X$ -related, so  $R_\Delta^X$ -related, thus equal, and it follows that  $x''$  and  $y''$  are  $R_{\Delta''(\Delta'')^{-1}}^X$ -related, thus  $R_{\Delta_j}^X$ -related.  $\square$

For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , Lemma 2.3 and the conjugation invariance of  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_j$  ensure that  $\gamma\Delta''B$  is  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X)$ -independent. As  $\gamma\Delta''B$  is analytic, Proposition 1.2 therefore yields an  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X)$ -independent Borel set  $B_\gamma \subseteq X$  containing  $\gamma\Delta''B$ .



Fix a countable dense set  $D \subseteq \Gamma$ . As Proposition 2.1 ensures that  $\Gamma = D\Delta''$ , it follows that  $X = \Gamma B = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} \gamma \Delta'' B = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} B_\gamma$ , thus  $\chi_B(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \leq \aleph_0$ .  $\square$

Given a digraph  $G$  on a set  $X$ , we say that a set  $Y \subseteq X$  is a  $G$ -clique if all pairs of distinct points of  $Y$  are in  $G$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a separable group,  $X$  is a set,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is an action, and  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  is an open neighborhood of  $1_\Gamma$ . Then every  $E_\Gamma^X$ -class is a countable union of  $(R_\Delta^X \setminus =_X)$ -cliques.*

*Proof.* Fix a countable dense set  $D \subseteq \Gamma$  and a non-empty open set  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$  for which  $\Lambda\Lambda^{-1} \subseteq \Delta$ . Observe that if  $d \in D$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $y, z \in \Lambda d \cdot x \implies z \in \Lambda d(\Lambda d)^{-1}y = \Lambda\Lambda^{-1}y \subseteq \Delta y$ , so  $\Lambda d \cdot x$  is an  $(R_\Delta^X \setminus =_X)$ -clique, and  $\Gamma x = \bigcup_{d \in D} \Lambda d \cdot x$  by Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

We next show that  $\sigma$ -lacunarity follows from the corresponding special case of condition (1) in Theorem 1:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a cli Polish group,  $X$  is an analytic metric space,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is continuous,  $(\Delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$ , and there are Borel sets  $B_i \subseteq X$  with the property that  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i$  and  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \chi_B((R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \upharpoonright B_i) \leq \aleph_0$ . Then  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary.*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, since otherwise  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is trivially  $\sigma$ -lacunary. So, by passing to a subsequence of  $(\Delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we can assume that  $(\overline{\Delta_{i+1}})^{-1} \cup (\overline{\Delta_{i+1}})^2 \subseteq \Delta_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By breaking each  $B_i$  into countably-many Borel sets, we obtain Borel sets  $B'_n \subseteq X$  and  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}$  with the property that  $B'_n$  is  $(R_{\Delta_{i_n}}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_{i_n+3}}^X)$ -independent and  $\chi_B((R_{\Delta_{i_n}}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \upharpoonright B'_n) \leq \aleph_0$  for all  $j \geq i_n + 4$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As a result of Montgomery-Novikov ensures that the class of Borel sets is closed under category quantification (see, for example, [Kec95, Theorem 16.1]), it follows that the function  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , given by  $\phi(x) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists^* \gamma \in \Gamma \gamma \cdot x \in B'_n\}$ , is Borel. By passing to the  $E_\Gamma^X$ -invariant Borel sets of the form  $\phi^{-1}(\{n\})$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is sufficient to show that if  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \subseteq X$  is an  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_{i+3}}^X)$ -independent Borel set such that  $\forall j \geq i + 4 \chi_B((R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \upharpoonright B) \leq \aleph_0$  and  $\forall x \in X \exists^* \gamma \in \Gamma \gamma \cdot x \in B$ , then there is a  $\Delta_{i+2}$ -lacunary  $E_\Gamma^X$ -complete Borel set.

**Lemma 2.6.** *The restriction  $E = R_{\Delta_i}^X \upharpoonright B$  is an equivalence relation.*

*Proof.* To see that  $E$  is symmetric, observe that if  $x E y$ , then the  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_{i+3}}^X)$ -independence of  $B$  ensures that  $x R_{\Delta_{i+3}}^X y$ , so  $y R_{\Delta_{i+2}}^X x$ , thus  $y E x$ . To see that  $E$  is transitive, note that if  $x E y E z$ , then the  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_{i+3}}^X)$ -independence of  $B$  ensures that  $x R_{\Delta_{i+3}}^X y R_{\Delta_{i+3}}^X z$ , so  $x R_{\Delta_{i+2}}^X z$ , thus  $x E z$ .  $\square$

Proposition 2.4 ensures that  $E$  has countable index below  $E_\Gamma^X \upharpoonright B$ , so the set  $B' = \{x \in B \mid \exists^* \gamma \in \Gamma \ x \ E \ \gamma \cdot x\}$  is  $E_\Gamma^X$ -complete. By replacing  $B$  with  $B'$ , we can therefore assume that  $\forall x \in B \exists^* \gamma \in \Gamma \ x \ E \ \gamma \cdot x$ .

Fix positive real numbers  $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  and Borel colorings  $c_j: B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X) \upharpoonright B$  such that  $\text{diam } c_j^{-1}(\{n\}) \leq \epsilon_j$  for all  $j \geq i+4$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all  $j \geq i+3$  and  $x \in B$ , let  $s_j(x)$  be the lexicographically minimal sequence  $s \in \mathbb{N}^{j-i-3}$  for which there are non-meagerly many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot x \in [x]_E \cap \bigcap_{i+4 \leq k \leq j} c_k^{-1}(\{s(k-i-4)\})$ , and define  $C_j = \{x \in B \mid s_j(x) = (c_k(x))_{i+4 \leq k \leq j}\}$ .

A ray from a point  $x \in B$  through  $(C_j)_{j \geq i+3}$  is a sequence  $(\delta_j)_{j \geq i+3}$  such that  $\delta_j \in \Delta_j$  and  $\delta_j \cdots \delta_{i+3} \cdot x \in C_{j+1}$  for all  $j \geq i+3$ . To see that such rays exist, set  $x_{i+3} = x$ , and fix  $x_j \in C_j \cap [x]_E$  for all  $j \geq i+4$ . As the  $(R_{\Delta_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_j}^X)$ -independence of  $C_j$  ensures that  $E \upharpoonright C_j \subseteq R_{\Delta_j}^X$ , there exists  $\delta_j \in \Delta_j$  such that  $\delta_j \cdot x_j = x_{j+1}$ , for all  $j \geq i+3$ . But then  $(\delta_j)_{j \geq i+3}$  is a ray from  $x$  through  $(C_j)_{j \geq i+3}$ .

As  $\Delta_j^2 \subseteq \Delta_{j-1}$  for all  $j \geq i+3$ , a straightforward induction shows that if  $i+3 \leq j \leq k$ , then  $\Delta_k \cdots \Delta_j \subseteq \Delta_{j-1}$ . It follows that if  $(\delta_j)_{j \geq i+3}$  is a ray from  $x$  through  $(C_j)_{j \geq i+3}$ , then  $\delta_k \cdots \delta_j \in \Delta_{j-1}$  for all  $k \geq j \geq i+3$ , so  $(\delta_j \cdots \delta_{i+3})_{j \geq i+3}$  is Cauchy with respect to every compatible complete right-invariant metric on  $\Gamma$ , and therefore converges to some  $\delta \in \overline{\Delta_{i+2}}$ .

Observe now that if  $(\delta_j^x)_{j \geq i+3}$  and  $(\delta_j^y)_{j \geq 3}$  are rays from points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $B$  through the sequence  $(C_j)_{j \geq i+3}$ , and  $\delta^x$  and  $\delta^y$  are the corresponding limit points, then  $(\delta^y)^{-1} \Delta_{i+2} \delta^x \subseteq (\delta^y)^{-1} \Delta_{i+1} \subseteq \Delta_i$ , so  $\delta^x \cdot x \ R_{\Delta_{i+2}}^X \ \delta^y \cdot y \implies x \ R_{\Delta_i}^X \ y \implies x \ E \ y$ , and the fact that  $\text{diam } C_j \rightarrow 0$  ensures that  $x \ E \ y \implies \delta^x \cdot x = \delta^y \cdot y$ . Define  $\psi: B \rightarrow X$  by setting  $\psi(x) = y$  if and only if there is a ray  $(\delta_j)_{j \geq i+3}$  from  $x$  through  $(C_j)_{j \geq i+3}$  for which  $\delta_j \cdots \delta_{i+3} \cdot x \rightarrow y$ . As  $\text{graph}(\psi)$  is analytic, it is Borel (see, for example, the proof of [Kec95, Theorem 14.12]), so the fact that  $\psi(B) = \{x \in X \mid \exists^* \gamma \in \Gamma \ \psi(\gamma \cdot x) = x\}$  ensures that  $\psi(B)$  is Borel. But the above remarks also imply that the latter set is  $\Delta_{i+2}$ -lacunary, and it is clearly  $E_\Gamma^X$ -complete.  $\square$

We close this section by noting that  $\sigma$ -lacunarity yields essential countability. By the Lusin-Novikov uniformization theorem (see, for example, [Kec95, Theorem 18.10]), it is sufficient to show the following:

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a Polish group,  $X$  is a Polish space, and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is a  $\sigma$ -lacunary Borel action. Then there is an  $E_\Gamma^X$ -complete Borel set  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $E_\Gamma^X \upharpoonright B$  is countable.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that if  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  is an open neighborhood of  $1_\Gamma$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  is  $\Delta$ -lacunary, then  $E_\Gamma^X \upharpoonright Y$  is countable. But this is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** Although we shall have no need for it here, it is worth mentioning that—after seeing a draft of this article and having several conversations with myself on the topic—Grebík established the converse of Proposition 2.7 in the special case that  $E_{\Gamma}^X$  is Borel. While this can be combined with [HK01, Theorem 8.1] to obtain a version of Theorem 3, one of the primary motivations underlying this paper was, in fact, to provide a simpler proof of [HK01, Theorem 8.1]. The idea behind Grebík’s argument is as follows: Appeal to the Lusin-Novikov uniformization theorem to obtain a Borel uniformization  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  of  $E_{\Gamma}^X$ , note that for all open neighborhoods  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  of  $1_{\Gamma}$ , the sets  $X_{\Delta} = \{x \in X \mid \forall^* \delta \in \Delta \pi(x) = \pi(\delta \cdot x)\}$  and  $B_{\Delta} = \{y \in B \mid \exists^* \gamma \in \Gamma (\gamma \cdot y \in X_{\Delta} \text{ and } \pi(\gamma \cdot y) = y)\}$  are Borel, fix a Borel uniformization  $\pi_{\Delta}: B_{\Delta} \rightarrow X_{\Delta}$  of  $\{(y, x) \in B_{\Delta} \times X_{\Delta} \mid \pi(x) = y\}$  (see, for example, [Kec95, Theorem 18.6]), and observe that the set  $A_{\Delta} = \pi_{\Delta}(B_{\Delta})$  is Borel (see, for example, [Kec95, Theorem 15.1]). But one can easily check that  $A_{\Delta}$  is  $\Lambda$ -lacunary for every open set  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda^2 \subseteq \Delta$ , and  $\bigcup\{A_{\Delta} \mid \Delta \text{ is an open neighborhood of } 1_{\Gamma}\}$  is  $E_{\Gamma}^X$ -complete (see [Gre20]).

### 3. COMPOSITIONS

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $(s_i)_{i < n}$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}^{< \mathbb{N}}$ , let  $\bigoplus_{i < n} s_i$  denote the concatenation  $s_0 \frown s_1 \frown \cdots \frown s_{n-1}$ . Given a sequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}^{< \mathbb{N}}$ , let  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_n$  denote  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{i < n} s_i$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $C \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is comeager and  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow C$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,f(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix dense open sets  $U_n \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq C$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi: 2^n \rightarrow 2^{< \mathbb{N}}$ , there exists  $t \in 2^{< \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi(s) \frown t} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $s \in 2^n$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an enumeration  $(s_m)_{m < 2^n}$  of  $2^n$ , and recursively find  $t_m \in 2^{< \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi(s_m) \frown \bigoplus_{\ell \leq m} t_{\ell}} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $m < 2^n$ . Set  $t = \bigoplus_{m < 2^n} t_m$ .  $\square$

Set  $\ell_0 = 0$  and define  $\phi_0: 2^0 \rightarrow 2^{\ell_0}$  by  $\phi_0(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\phi_n: 2^n \rightarrow 2^{\ell_n}$ , appeal to Lemma 3.2 to obtain a sequence  $t_n \in 2^{< \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_n(s) \frown t_n} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $s \in 2^n$ . Then there exists  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $k_{m_n} = f(k_n)$  and  $\phi_n(s_n) \frown t_n \sqsubseteq s_{m_n}$ , as well as a unique extension  $u_n \in 2^{m_n - \ell_n}$  of  $t_n$  such that  $s_{m_n} = \phi_n(s_n) \frown u_n$ . Set  $\ell_{n+1} = m_n + 1$ , and define  $\phi_{n+1}: 2^{n+1} \rightarrow 2^{\ell_{n+1}}$  by  $\phi_{n+1}(t \frown (i)) = \phi_n(t) \frown u_n \frown (i)$  for all  $i < 2$  and  $t \in 2^n$ .

Define  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $\phi(c) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_n(c \upharpoonright n)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . To see that  $\phi(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \subseteq C$ , note that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then  $\phi(c) \in \mathcal{N}_{\phi_{n+1}(c \upharpoonright (n+1))} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi_n(c \upharpoonright n) \frown t_n} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $\phi(c) \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq C$ . Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , to see that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}_{0,k}$  to  $\mathbb{G}_{0,f(k)}$ , observe that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k = k_n$ , and  $d = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} u_{n+1+m} \frown (c(m))$ , then  $\phi(s_n \frown (i) \frown c) = \phi_{n+1}(s_n \frown (i)) \frown d = \phi_n(s_n) \frown u_n \frown (i) \frown d = s_{m_n} \frown (i) \frown d$  for all  $i < 2$ , so the fact that  $k_{m_n} = f(k_n)$  ensures that  $\phi(s_n \frown (0) \frown c) \in \mathbb{G}_{0,f(k_n)}$  and  $\phi(s_n \frown (1) \frown c) \in \mathbb{G}_{0,f(k_n)}$ .  $\square$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $X^{<n} = \bigcup_{m < n} X^m$ . For all  $s, t \in 2^{<n}$ , define  $\mathbb{G}_{s,t} = \{(s \frown (i) \frown t \frown c)_{i < 2} \mid c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that  $(R_{j,n})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0}$  is a sequence of analytic binary relations on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\bigcup_{m < n} \mathbb{G}_{0,k_m} \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_{j,n}$  for all  $n > 0$ . Then there are functions  $g_n: 2^{<n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that is also a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{G}_{s_{n-|t|-1},t})_{n > 0, t \in 2^{<n}}$  to  $(R_{g_n(t),n})_{n > 0, t \in 2^{<n}}$ .*

*Proof.* We will recursively construct  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u_n \in 2^{<n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which we define  $\phi_{[m,n]}: 2^{n-m} \rightarrow 2^{<n}$  by  $\phi_{[m,n]}(t) = \bigoplus_{i < n-m} u_{i+m} \frown (t(i))$  for all natural numbers  $m \leq n$  and sequences  $t \in 2^{n-m}$ , as well as  $g_n: 2^{<n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and open sets  $U_{j,n} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n > 0$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > 0$   $U_{j,n}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{N}_{u_n}$ .
- (2)  $\forall n > 0 \forall c \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} U_{j,n} \forall t \in 2^{<n}$   
 $(\phi_{[0,n]}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t) \frown c)_{i < 2} \in R_{g_n(t),n}$ .
- (3)  $\forall n > 0 \forall t \in 2^{<n}$   $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_{[n-|t|,n]}(t) \frown u_n} \subseteq U_{|t|,n-|t|}$ .
- (4)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $k_{m_n} = k_n$  and  $s_{m_n} = \phi_{[0,n]}(s_n) \frown u_n$ ).

We begin by setting  $m_0 = 0$  and  $u_0 = \emptyset$ . Suppose now that  $n > 0$  and we have already found  $(m_k)_{k < n}$  and  $(u_k)_{k < n}$ , as well as  $(g_k)_{0 < k < n}$  and  $(U_{j,k})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < k < n}$ , satisfying the corresponding fragments of the above conditions. For all  $g: 2^{<n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_g$  be the set of  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(\phi_{[0,n]}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t) \frown c)_{i < 2} \in R_{g(t),n}$  for all  $t \in 2^{<n}$ . Note that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $i < 2$ , and  $t \in 2^{<n}$ , then condition (4) ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{[0,n]}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t) \frown c \\ &= \phi_{[0,n-|t|]}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i)) \frown \phi_{[n-|t|,n]}(t) \frown c \\ &= \phi_{[0,n-|t|-1]}(s_{n-|t|-1}) \frown u_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown \phi_{[n-|t|,n]}(t) \frown c \\ &= s_{m_{n-|t|-1}} \frown (i) \frown \phi_{[n-|t|,n]}(t) \frown c, \end{aligned}$$

so  $(\phi_{[0,n]}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t) \frown c)_{i < 2} \in \mathbb{G}_{0,k_{n-|t|-1}} \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_{j,n}$ , thus there exists  $g: 2^{<n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  for which  $c \in B_g$ . Fix  $g_n: 2^{<n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  for which

$B_{g_n}$  is non-meager,  $u_{0,n} \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$  for which  $B_{g_n}$  is comeager in  $\mathcal{N}_{u_{0,n}}$ , and dense open sets  $U_{j,n} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{u_{0,n}}$  such that  $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} U_{j,n} \subseteq B_{g_n}$ . Note that if  $t \in 2^{<n}$  and  $u \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$  extends  $u_{0,n}$ , then  $U_{|t|,n-|t|}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_{[n-|t|,n]} \frown u}$  (by our choice of  $U_{0,n}$  when  $t = \emptyset$ , and by condition (1) and the fact that  $u_{n-|t|} \sqsubseteq \phi_{[n-|t|,n]}(t)$  when  $t \neq \emptyset$ ). Fix an enumeration  $(t_{k,n})_{k < 2^n - 1}$  of  $2^{<n}$ , and recursively find extensions  $u_{k+1,n} \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}$  of  $u_{k,n}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_{[n-|t_{k,n}|,n]}(t_{k,n}) \frown u_{k+1,n}} \subseteq U_{|t_{k,n}|,n-|t_{k,n}|}$  for all  $k < 2^n - 1$ . Fix  $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $k_{m_n} = k_n$  and  $\phi_{[0,n]}(s_n) \frown u_{2^n-1,n} \sqsubseteq s_{m_n}$ , and let  $u_n$  be the unique extension of  $u_{2^n-1,n}$  for which  $s_{m_n} = \phi_{[0,n]}(s_n) \frown u_n$ .

Define  $\phi_{[m,\infty)}: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $\phi_{[m,\infty)}(c) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_{[m,m+n)}(c \upharpoonright n)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To see that  $\phi_{[0,\infty)}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}_{0,k}$  to  $\mathbb{G}_{0,k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k = k_n$ , and  $d = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} u_{n+1+m} \frown (c(m))$ , then condition (4) ensures that  $\phi_{[0,\infty)}(s_n \frown (i) \frown c) = \phi_{[0,n+1)}(s_n \frown (i)) \frown d = \phi_{[0,n)}(s_n) \frown u_n \frown (i) \frown d = s_{m_n} \frown (i) \frown d$  for all  $i < 2$ , so  $\phi_{[0,\infty)}(s_n \frown (0) \frown c) \mathbb{G}_{0,k} \phi_{[0,\infty)}(s_n \frown (1) \frown c)$ .

Given  $n > 0$  and  $t \in 2^{<n}$ , to see that  $\phi_{[0,\infty)}$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}_{s_{n-|t|-1},t}$  to  $R_{g_n(t),n}$ , note that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then condition (3) ensures that  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_{[n,m)}(c \upharpoonright (m-n)) \frown u_m} \subseteq U_{m-n,n}$  for all  $m \geq n$ , so  $\phi_{[n,\infty)}(c) \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} U_{j,n}$ . As  $\phi_{[0,\infty)}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t \frown c) = \phi_{[0,n)}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t) \frown \phi_{[n,\infty)}(c)$  for all  $i < 2$ , it follows that  $(\phi_{[0,\infty)}(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t \frown c))_{i < 2} \in R_{g_n(t),n}$ , by condition (2).  $\square$

For all sets  $N$ , let  $[N]^{<\aleph_0}$  denote the family of finite subsets of  $N$ . For all sequences  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , set  $\Delta(c, d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid c(n) \neq d(n)\}$ . Define  $\delta_i: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\aleph_0\}$  by  $\delta_i(c, d) = |\Delta(c, d) \cap (\{i\} \times \mathbb{N})|$  for all  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A *homomorphism* from a function  $f: X \times X \rightarrow N$  to a function  $g: Y \times Y \rightarrow N$  is a map  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(w, x) = g(\phi(w), \phi(x))$  for all  $w, x \in X$ . More generally, a *homomorphism* from a sequence  $(f_i: X \times X \rightarrow N)_{i \in I}$  to a sequence  $(g_i: Y \times Y \rightarrow N)_{i \in I}$  is a map  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  that is a homomorphism from  $f_i$  to  $g_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose that  $C \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is comeager. Then there is a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow C$  from  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix dense open sets  $U_n \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq C$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *For all  $F, G \in [\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$ ,  $\phi: 2^F \rightarrow 2^G$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $H \in [\sim G]^{<\aleph_0}$  and  $t \in 2^H$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi(s) \cup t} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $s \in 2^F$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an enumeration  $(s_m)_{m < 2^{|F|}}$  of  $2^F$ , and recursively find pairwise disjoint sets  $H_m \in [\sim G]^{<\aleph_0}$  and  $t_m \in 2^{H_m}$  with  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi(s_m) \cup \bigcup_{\ell \leq m} t_\ell} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $m < 2^{|F|}$ . Define  $H = \bigcup_{m < 2^{|F|}} H_m$  and  $t = \bigcup_{m < 2^{|F|}} t_m$ .  $\square$

Fix an injective enumeration  $(i_n, j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $F_n = \{(i_m, j_m) \mid m < n\}$ . Set  $G_0 = \emptyset$ , and define  $\phi_0: 2^{F_0} \rightarrow 2^{G_0}$  by  $\phi_0(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set  $G_n \in [\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$ , and a function  $\phi_n: 2^{F_n} \rightarrow 2^{G_n}$ , appeal to Lemma 3.5 to obtain  $H_n \in [\sim G_n]^{<\aleph_0}$  and  $t_n \in 2^{H_n}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\phi_n(s) \cup t_n} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $s \in 2^{F_n}$ , fix  $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $(i_n, k_n) \notin G_n \cup H_n$ , set  $G_{n+1} = G_n \cup H_n \cup \{(i_n, k_n)\}$ , and define  $\phi_{n+1}: 2^{F_{n+1}} \rightarrow 2^{G_{n+1}}$  by  $\phi_{n+1}(s) \upharpoonright G_n = \phi_n(s \upharpoonright F_n)$ ,  $\phi_{n+1}(s) \upharpoonright H_n = t_n$ , and  $\phi_{n+1}(s)(i_n, k_n) = s(i_n, j_n)$  for all  $s \in 2^{F_{n+1}}$ .

Set  $G_\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ , and let  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be the function given by  $\text{supp}(\phi(c)) \subseteq G_\infty$  and  $\phi(c) \upharpoonright G_\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_n(c \upharpoonright F_n)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ . To see that  $\phi(2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}) \subseteq C$ , note that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $\phi(c) \in \mathcal{N}_{\phi_{n+1}(c \upharpoonright F_{n+1})} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi_n(c \upharpoonright F_n) \cup t_n} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $\phi(c) \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq C$ . To see that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\delta_i$  to  $\delta_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that if  $m < n$ , then  $(i_m, k_m) \neq (i_n, k_n)$ , since  $(i_m, k_m) \in G_{m+1} \subseteq G_n$  but  $(i_n, k_n) \notin G_n$ , and  $\Delta(\phi(c), \phi(d)) = \{(i_n, k_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (i_n, j_n) \in \Delta(c, d)\}$  for all  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

Given  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $\Delta_i(c, d) = \Delta(c, d) \cap (i \times \mathbb{N})$  for all  $c, d \in 2^F$  and define  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F} = \{(c, d) \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \Delta_i(c, d) = F\}$ . For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $(=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{<\aleph_0} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  denote the equivalence relation on  $(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  with respect to which two sequences  $c, d \in (2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  are equivalent if and only if there exists  $m \geq k$  with the property that  $c(\ell) \neq d(\ell) \implies (k \leq \ell < m \text{ and } c(\ell) \mathbb{E}_0 d(\ell))$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will abuse notation by identifying each binary relation on  $(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the corresponding binary relation on  $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Suppose that  $D \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is closed and nowhere dense in  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$  and  $R \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is meager in  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F \in [i \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$ . Then there is a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  from  $((=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{<\aleph_0} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $((=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{<\aleph_0} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that is also a homomorphism from  $(\neq_{2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}}, \sim \mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}})$  to  $(\sim D, \sim R)$ .*

*Proof.* As the function  $f: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ , given by  $f(c, d) = (d, c)$ , is a homeomorphism under which each  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$  is invariant, it follows that each  $f \upharpoonright \mathbb{D}_{i,F}$  is a homeomorphism, so  $f(D)$  is closed and nowhere dense in each  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$ , thus by replacing  $D$  with  $D \cup f(D)$ , we can assume that  $D$  is symmetric. For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F \in [i \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$ , fix a decreasing sequence  $(U_{i,F,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of dense open subsets of  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F} \setminus D$  whose intersection is disjoint from  $R$ . As each  $f(U_{i,F,n})$  is a dense open subset of  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F} \setminus D$ , by replacing each  $U_{i,F,n}$  with  $U_{i,F,n} \cap f(U_{i,F,n})$ , we can assume that each  $U_{i,F,n}$  is symmetric.

**Lemma 3.7.** *For all  $F, G \in [\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$ ,  $\phi: 2^F \rightarrow 2^G$ , and  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $H \in [\sim G]^{<\aleph_0}$  and  $t_0, t_1 \in 2^H$  with the property that*

$\Delta_i(t_0, t_1) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{i, \Delta_i(\phi(s_0), \phi(s_1))} \cap \prod_{k < 2} \mathcal{N}_{\phi(s_k) \cup t_k} \subseteq U_{i, \Delta_i(\phi(s_0), \phi(s_1)), n}$  for all  $s_0, s_1 \in 2^F$ .

*Proof.* Fix an enumeration  $(s_{0,m}, s_{1,m})_{m < 4^{|F|}}$  of  $2^F \times 2^F$ , and recursively find pairwise disjoint sets  $H_m \in [\sim G]^{< \aleph_0}$  and  $t_{0,m}, t_{1,m} \in 2^{H_m}$  such that, for all  $m < 4^{|F|}$ , the set  $\Delta_i(t_{0,m}, t_{1,m})$  is empty and

$$\mathbb{D}_{i, \Delta_i(\phi(s_{0,m}), \phi(s_{1,m}))} \cap \prod_{k < 2} \mathcal{N}_{\phi(s_{k,m}) \cup \bigcup_{\ell \leq m} t_{k,\ell}} \subseteq U_{i, \Delta_i(\phi(s_{0,m}), \phi(s_{1,m})), n}.$$

Define  $H = \bigcup_{m < 4^{|F|}} H_m$  and  $t_k = \bigcup_{m < 4^{|F|}} t_{k,m}$  for all  $k < 2$ .  $\square$

Fix an injective enumeration  $(i_n, j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $F_n = \{(i_m, j_m) \mid m < n\}$ . Set  $G_0 = \emptyset$ , and define  $\phi_0: 2^{F_0} \rightarrow 2^{G_0}$  by  $\phi_0(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set  $G_n \in [\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]^{< \aleph_0}$ , and a function  $\phi_n: 2^{F_n} \rightarrow 2^{G_n}$ , appeal to Lemma 3.7 to obtain  $H_n \in [\sim G_n]^{< \aleph_0}$  and  $t_{0,n}, t_{1,n} \in 2^{H_n}$  such that  $\Delta_{i_n}(t_{0,n}, t_{1,n}) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{i_n, \Delta_{i_n}(\phi_n(s_0), \phi_n(s_1))} \cap \prod_{k < 2} \mathcal{N}_{\phi_n(s_k) \cup t_{k,n}} \subseteq U_{i_n, \Delta_{i_n}(\phi_n(s_0), \phi_n(s_1)), n}$  for all  $s_0, s_1 \in 2^{F_n}$ , set  $G_{n+1} = G_n \cup H_n$ , and define  $\phi_{n+1}: 2^{F_{n+1}} \rightarrow 2^{G_{n+1}}$  by  $\phi_{n+1}(s) \upharpoonright G_n = \phi_n(s \upharpoonright F_n)$  and  $\phi_{n+1}(s) \upharpoonright H_n = t_{s(i_n, j_n), n}$ .

Set  $G_\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ , and let  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be the function given by  $\text{supp}(\phi(c)) \subseteq G_\infty$  and  $\phi(c) \upharpoonright G_\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_n(c \upharpoonright F_n)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ .

To see that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\neq_{2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}}$  to  $\sim D$ , note that if  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  are distinct, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with the property that  $c(i_n, j_n) \neq d(i_n, j_n)$ , so  $(\phi(c), \phi(d)) \in U_{i_n, \Delta_{i_n}(\phi_n(c \upharpoonright F_n), \phi_n(d \upharpoonright F_n)), n}$ , thus  $(\phi(c), \phi(d)) \notin D$ .

To see that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $(=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{< \mathbb{N}} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $(=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{< \mathbb{N}} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that if  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  are  $((=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{< \mathbb{N}} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}})$ -related, then  $\Delta_k(t_{c(i_n, j_n), n}, t_{d(i_n, j_n), n}) = \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $c(i_n, j_n) = d(i_n, j_n)$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\Delta(\phi(c), \phi(d))$  is a finite subset of  $(\mathbb{N} \setminus k) \times \mathbb{N}$ .

To see that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\sim \mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $\sim R$ , observe that if  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  are  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ -inequivalent, then there is a least  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $\delta_k(c, d) = \aleph_0$ . Set  $F = \Delta_k(c, d)$ , fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large that  $F \subseteq F_n$ , define  $G = \Delta_k(\phi_n(c \upharpoonright F_n), \phi_n(d \upharpoonright F_n))$ , and observe that  $\Delta_k(\phi(c), \phi(d)) = G$ . As there are arbitrarily large  $m \geq n$  for which  $i_m = k$  and  $c(i_m, j_m) \neq d(i_m, j_m)$ , and therefore  $(\phi(c), \phi(d)) \in U_{k, G, m}$ , it follows that  $(\phi(c), \phi(d)) \notin R$ .  $\square$

A subset of a topological space is  $F_\sigma$  if it is a countable union of closed sets, and  $G_\delta$  if it is a countable intersection of open sets. For all sets  $N$  and sequences  $c \in 2^N$ , let  $\bar{c}$  denote the element of  $2^N$  given by  $\bar{c}(n) = 1 - c(n)$  for all  $n \in N$ . As the Lusin-Novikov uniformization theorem and standard change of topology results (see, for example, [Kec95, §13]) ensure that every countable Borel equivalence relation on

a Polish space is Borel isomorphic to an  $F_\sigma$  Borel equivalence relation on a Polish space, the following well-known fact ensures that  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not essentially countable:

**Proposition 3.8.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a second countable space and  $E$  is an  $F_\sigma$  equivalence relation on  $X$ . Then there is no Baire measurable reduction  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  is a Baire measurable homomorphism from  $\sim \mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $\sim E$ . Then there exist a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $C \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  for which  $\phi \upharpoonright C$  is continuous (see, for example, [Kec95, Proposition 8.38]), dense open sets  $U_n \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $C = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ , and open sets  $V_n \subseteq X \times X$  with the property that  $\sim E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ .

Fix an enumeration  $(i_n, j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $F_0 = \emptyset$  and  $s_0 = t_0 = \emptyset$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n \in [\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]^{< \aleph_0}$ , and  $s_n, t_n \in 2^{F_n}$ , fix  $G_n \in [\sim F_n]^{< \aleph_0}$  and  $u_n \in 2^{G_n}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{s_n \cup u_n} \subseteq U_n$ , as well as  $H_n \in [\sim (F_n \cup G_n)]^{< \aleph_0}$  and  $v_n \in 2^{H_n}$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{t_n \cup u_n \cup v_n} \subseteq U_n$ . Set  $F'_n = F_n \cup G_n \cup H_n$ ,  $s'_n = s_n \cup u_n \cup v_n$ , and  $t'_n = t_n \cup u_n \cup v_n$ , and define  $\psi_n: \mathcal{N}_{s'_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{t'_n}$  by  $\psi_n(c \cup d \cup s'_n) = c \cup \bar{d} \cup t'_n$  for all  $c \in 2^{(n \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus F'_n}$  and  $d \in 2^{(\sim n) \times \mathbb{N} \setminus F'_n}$ . Fix  $c_n \in C \cap \psi_n^{-1}(C)$  and set  $d_n = \psi_n(c_n)$ . As these points are  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ -inequivalent, it follows that the points  $x_n = \phi(c_n)$  and  $y_n = \phi(d_n)$  are  $E$ -inequivalent, and therefore  $V_n$ -related. Fix  $F_{n+1} \in [\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]^{< \aleph_0}$  such that  $F'_n \cup \{(i_n, j_n)\} \subseteq F_{n+1}$  and  $\phi(C \cap \mathcal{N}_{c_n \upharpoonright F_{n+1}}) \times \phi(C \cap \mathcal{N}_{d_n \upharpoonright F_{n+1}}) \subseteq V_n$ , and define  $s_{n+1} = c_n \upharpoonright F_{n+1}$  and  $t_{n+1} = d_n \upharpoonright F_{n+1}$ .

The fact that  $\mathcal{N}_{s_{n+1}}, \mathcal{N}_{t_{n+1}} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ensures that the sequences  $c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_n$  and  $d = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t_n$  are in  $C$ , in which case  $(\phi(c), \phi(d)) \in \phi(C \cap \mathcal{N}_{s_{n+1}}) \times \phi(C \cap \mathcal{N}_{t_{n+1}}) \subseteq V_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $\phi(c)$  and  $\phi(d)$  are  $E$ -inequivalent. As the fact that  $\Delta_n(c, d) = \Delta_n(s_n, t_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ensures that  $c \mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}} d$ , it follows that  $\phi$  is not a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $E$ .  $\square$

#### 4. A STRENGTHENING OF THE $\mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ DICHOTOMY

We begin this section with the following:

*Proof of Theorem 2.* To see that conditions (1) and (2) are mutually exclusive when  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing sequence of conjugation-invariant sets, observe that if  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary and  $(\Delta'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $(\Delta'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a neighborhood basis of  $1_\Gamma$ , so Proposition 2.2 gives rise to Borel sets  $B_i \subseteq X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i$  and  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N} \chi_B((R_{\Delta'_i}^X \setminus R_{\Delta'_j}^X) \upharpoonright B_i) \leq \aleph_0$ , in which case Theorem 1 rules out the existence of a continuous homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(R_{\Delta'_k}^X \setminus R_{\Delta'_{k+1}}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .



To see that at least one of the conditions holds, note first that condition (2) is equivalent to the apparently weaker statement in which  $\phi$  is merely Borel, since we can always pass to a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $C \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  on which  $\phi$  is continuous (see, for example, [Kec95, Theorem 8.38]), and then compose  $\phi \upharpoonright C$  with the function obtained by applying Proposition 3.1 to  $C$  and the identity function. By [BK96, Theorem 5.2.1], we can therefore assume that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is continuous. We can also assume that  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, since otherwise  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is trivially  $\sigma$ -lacunary. So, by passing to a subsequence, we can assume that  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing. By Theorem 1 and Proposition 2.5, it is therefore sufficient to show that if there exist  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(R_{\Delta_k}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_{f(k)}}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then condition (2) holds. Towards this end, note that  $f(k) > k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $(\Delta'_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the subsequence of  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $\Delta'_k = \Delta_{f^k(0)}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By one more application of Proposition 3.1, there is a continuous homomorphism  $\psi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,f^k(0)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , in which case  $\phi \circ \psi$  is a continuous homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(R_{\Delta'_k}^X \setminus R_{\Delta'_{k+1}}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .  $\square$

As a corollary, we obtain an approximation to Theorem 3 that goes through for all tsi Polish groups:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a tsi Polish group,  $(\Gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of open subgroups of  $\Gamma$ ,  $X$  is a Polish space,  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is Borel, and  $R_\Delta^X$  is Borel for all open sets  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (1) *The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary.*
- (2) *There is a continuous injective homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  from  $((=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{<\mathbb{N}} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(E_{\Gamma_k}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that is also a homomorphism from  $\sim \mathbb{E}_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $\sim E_\Gamma^X$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a neighborhood basis  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $1_G$  and a conjugation-invariant open neighborhood  $\Delta''_0 \subseteq \Gamma_0 \cap \Delta_0$  of  $1_\Gamma$ , and set  $\Delta'_0 = \Delta''_0 \cap (\Delta''_0)^{-1}$ . Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and an open neighborhood  $\Delta'_k \subseteq \Gamma_k \cap \Delta_k$  of  $1_\Gamma$ , fix an open neighborhood  $\Delta'''_{k+1} \subseteq \Gamma_{k+1} \cap \Delta_{k+1}$  of  $1_\Gamma$  such that  $(\Delta'''_{k+1})^2 \subseteq \Delta'_k$ , as well as a conjugation-invariant open neighborhood  $\Delta''_{k+1} \subseteq \Delta'''_{k+1}$  of  $1_\Gamma$ , and set  $\Delta'_{k+1} = \Delta''_{k+1} \cap (\Delta''_{k+1})^{-1}$ . By replacing each  $\Delta_k$  with  $\Delta'_k$ , we can assume that  $\Delta_k \subseteq \Gamma_k$  is a conjugation-invariant symmetric open neighborhood of  $1_\Gamma$  such that  $\Delta_{k+1}^2 \subseteq \Delta_k$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem 2 ensures that, after replacing  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with a subsequence if necessary, it is sufficient to show that if there is a continuous homomorphism  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(R_{\Delta_k}^X \setminus R_{\Delta_{k+1}}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then condition (2) holds.

Fix an enumeration  $(\delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of a countable dense subset of  $\Gamma$ , and for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n > 0$ , define  $R'_{j,n} = (\phi \times \phi)^{-1}(R_{\delta_j \Delta_n}^X)$ . As Proposition 2.1 ensures that  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_j \Delta_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{G}_{0,k} \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R'_{j,n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so Proposition 3.3 yields functions  $g_n: 2^{<n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a continuous homomorphism  $\psi': 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  from  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(\mathbb{G}_{0,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that is also a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{G}_{s_{n-|t|-1},t})_{n>0,t \in 2^{<n}}$  to  $(R'_{g_n(t),n})_{n>0,t \in 2^{<n}}$ . By replacing  $\phi$  with  $\phi \circ \psi'$  and defining  $\gamma_n(t) = \delta_{g_n(t)}$  for all  $n > 0$  and  $t \in 2^{<n}$ , we can assume that  $\phi$  is also a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{G}_{s_{n-|t|-1},t})_{n>0,t \in 2^{<n}}$  to  $(R_{\gamma_n(t)\Delta_n}^X)_{n>0,t \in 2^{<n}}$ . For each set  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ , we use  $\langle \Delta \rangle$  to denote the group generated by  $\Delta$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The function  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{G}_s)_{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}}$  to  $(E_{\langle \Delta_{k_{|s|}} \rangle}^X)_{s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}}$ .*

*Proof.* A graph on a set  $V$  is a symmetric digraph  $G$  on  $V$ . A  $G$ -path between points  $u$  and  $v$  is a sequence  $(w_j)_{j \leq \ell}$ , where  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $u = w_0$ ,  $w_j G w_{j+1}$  for all  $j < \ell$ , and  $w_\ell = v$ . A graph  $G$  on a set  $V$  is *connected* if there is a  $G$ -path between any two points of  $V$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $T_n$  be the graph on  $2^n$  consisting of all pairs of the form  $(s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (i) \frown t, s_{n-|t|-1} \frown (1-i) \frown t)$ , where  $i < 2$  and  $t \in 2^{<n}$ .

**Sublemma 4.3.** *Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $T_n$  is connected.*

*Proof.* As the case  $n = 0$  is trivial, it is sufficient to show that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T_n$  is connected, then so too is  $T_{n+1}$ . Towards this end, suppose that  $u_0, u_1 \in 2^n$ , and note that if  $i < 2$  and  $(t_j)_{j \leq \ell}$  is a  $T_n$ -path from  $u_0$  to  $u_1$ , then  $(t_j \frown (i))_{j \leq \ell}$  is a  $T_{n+1}$ -path from  $u_0 \frown (i)$  to  $u_1 \frown (i)$ . Similarly, if  $(t_{0,j})_{j \leq \ell_0}$  and  $(t_{1,j})_{j \leq \ell_1}$  are  $T_n$ -paths from  $u_0$  to  $s_n$  and from  $s_n$  to  $u_1$ , respectively, then  $(t_{0,j} \frown (0))_{j \leq \ell_0} \frown (t_{1,j} \frown (1))_{j \leq \ell_1}$  is a  $T_{n+1}$ -path from  $u_0 \frown (0)$  to  $u_1 \frown (1)$ .  $\square$

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in 2^n$ , fix a  $T_n$ -path  $(t_j)_{j \leq \ell}$  from  $s$  to  $s_n$ , and for all  $j < \ell$ , fix  $i_j < 2$  and  $u_j \in 2^{<n}$  with the property that  $t_j = s_{n-|u_j|-1} \frown (i_j) \frown u_j$  and  $t_{j+1} = s_{n-|u_j|-1} \frown (1-i_j) \frown u_j$ . Note that if  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $i < 2$ , and  $j < \ell$ , then  $t_j \frown (i) \frown c$  and  $t_{j+1} \frown (i) \frown c$  are  $\mathbb{G}_{s_{n-|u_j|-1},u_j}$ -related, so  $\phi(t_j \frown (i) \frown c)$  and  $\phi(t_{j+1} \frown (i) \frown c)$  are  $R_{\gamma_n(u_j)\Delta_n}^X$ -related, and since  $k_n \leq n$ , thus  $\Delta_n \leq \Delta_{k_n}$ , there is an element of  $(\gamma_n(u_{\ell-1})\Delta_{k_n} \cdots \gamma_n(u_0)\Delta_{k_n})^{-1}\Delta_{k_n}(\gamma_n(u_{\ell-1})\Delta_{k_n} \cdots \gamma_n(u_0)\Delta_{k_n})$  sending  $\phi(s \frown (0) \frown c)$  to  $\phi(s \frown (1) \frown c)$ . As the conjugation invariance and symmetry of  $\Delta_{k_n}$  ensure that this product is  $\Delta_{k_n}^{2\ell+1}$ , it follows that  $\phi(s \frown (0) \frown c) E_{\langle \Delta_{k_n} \rangle}^X \phi(s \frown (1) \frown c)$ .  $\square$

Set  $\ell_n = |\{m < n \mid k_m = k_n\}|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\psi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $\psi(c)(n) = c(k_n, \ell_n)$  for all  $c \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *The function  $\phi \circ \psi$  is a homomorphism from  $((=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{<\mathbb{N}} \times (=_{2^{\mathbb{N}}})^{\mathbb{N}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(E_{(\Delta_k)}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* By the obvious inductive argument, it is sufficient to establish that if sequences  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  differ at a single coordinate  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(\phi \circ \psi)(c) \notin E_{(\Delta_i)}^X$  if  $(\phi \circ \psi)(d) \in E_{(\Delta_i)}^X$ . Towards this end, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $(i, j) = (k_n, \ell_n)$ , set  $s = \psi(c) \upharpoonright n = \psi(d) \upharpoonright n$ , and observe that  $\psi(c) \in \mathbb{G}_s$  or  $\psi(d) \in \mathbb{G}_s$  or  $\psi(c) \in \mathbb{G}_s$  and  $\psi(d) \in \mathbb{G}_s$ , in which case Lemma 4.2 ensures that  $(\phi \circ \psi)(c) \notin E_{(\Delta_{k_n})}^X$  if  $(\phi \circ \psi)(d) \in E_{(\Delta_{k_n})}^X$ , thus  $(\phi \circ \psi)(c) \notin E_{(\Delta_i)}^X$  if  $(\phi \circ \psi)(d) \in E_{(\Delta_i)}^X$ .  $\square$

Set  $D = ((\phi \circ \psi) \times (\phi \circ \psi))^{-1}(=_X)$  and  $E = ((\phi \circ \psi) \times (\phi \circ \psi))^{-1}(E_{\Gamma}^X)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose that  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F \in [i \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$ . Then  $E$  is meager in  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose, towards a contradiction, that  $E$  is not meager in  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$ . Then another application of Proposition 2.1 yields  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  for which the relation  $R_{i+2,j} = ((\phi \circ \psi) \times (\phi \circ \psi))^{-1}(R_{\delta_j \Delta_{i+2}}^X)$  is not meager in  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F}$ , so there exist  $G \in [(i \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus F]^{<\aleph_0}$  and  $H, H' \in [(\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}]^{<\aleph_0}$  for which there are sequences  $r \in 2^F$ ,  $s \in 2^G$ ,  $t \in 2^H$ , and  $t' \in 2^{H'}$  with the property that  $R_{i+2,j}$  is comeager in  $\mathbb{D}_{i,F} \cap (\mathcal{N}_{r \cup s \cup t} \times \mathcal{N}_{\bar{r} \cup s \cup t'})$ , in which case the set  $S$  of  $(c, (d, d')) \in 2^{(i \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus (F \cup G)} \times (2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H} \times 2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H'})$  for which  $((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (d \cup t)) \in R_{i+2,j}$  and  $((c \cup \bar{r} \cup s) \cup (d' \cup t')) \in R_{i+2,j}$  is comeager.

Let  $C$  be the set of  $c \in 2^{(i \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus (F \cup G)}$  for which  $S_c$  is comeager, and let  $D$  be the set of  $(c, d) \in 2^{(i \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus (F \cup G)} \times 2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H}$  for which  $(S_c)_d$  is comeager. The Kuratowski-Ulam theorem ensures that  $C$  is comeager, as is  $D_c$  for all  $c \in C$ .

Set  $R_{i+1} = ((\phi \circ \psi) \times (\phi \circ \psi))^{-1}(R_{\Delta_{i+1}}^X)$ , and let  $T$  be the set of  $(c, (d, e)) \in 2^{(i \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus (F \cup G)} \times (2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H} \times 2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H})$  with the property that  $((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (d \cup t)) \in R_{i+1}$  and  $((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (e \cup t)) \in R_{i+1}$ .

**Sublemma 4.6.** *Suppose that  $c \in C$ . Then  $D_c \times D_c \subseteq T_c$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d, e \in D_c$ . Then there exists  $d' \in (S_c)_d \cap (S_c)_e$ , so  $(\phi \circ \psi)((c \cup \bar{r} \cup s) \cup (d' \cup t')) \in \delta_j \Delta_{i+2}(\phi \circ \psi)((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (f \cup t))$  for all  $f \in \{d, e\}$ , in which case  $(\phi \circ \psi)((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (e \cup t))$  is in  $(\delta_j \Delta_{i+2})^{-1} \delta_j \Delta_{i+2}(\phi \circ \psi)((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (d \cup t))$ , which is itself contained in  $\Delta_{i+1}(\phi \circ \psi)((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (d \cup t))$ , thus  $d \in T_c$ .  $\square$

Set  $M = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid (k_m, \ell_m) \in F \cup G \cup H\}$ , and define  $u \in 2^M$  by  $u(m) = (r \cup s \cup t)(k_m, \ell_m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $k_n = i$  and  $u \sqsubseteq s_n$ . Define  $N = \{(k_j, \ell_j) \mid j < n\}$  and  $u_n \in 2^N$  by  $u_n(k_j, \ell_j) = s_n(j)$  for all  $j < n$ , and fix  $c \in C$  for which  $u_n \upharpoonright ((i \times \mathbb{N}) \cap N) \sqsubseteq c \cup r \cup s$ . Let  $\phi_n: 2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H} \rightarrow 2^{((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \setminus H}$  be the homeomorphism flipping coordinate  $(k_n, \ell_n)$ , and fix  $d \in D_c \cap \phi_n^{-1}(D_c)$ .

for which  $u_n \upharpoonright (((\sim i) \times \mathbb{N}) \cap N) \sqsubseteq d \cup t$ . Then Sublemma 4.6 ensures that  $((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (d \cup t)) R_{i+1} ((c \cup r \cup s) \cup (\phi_n(d) \cup t))$ , contradicting the fact that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}_{0,i}$  to  $\sim R_{\Delta_{i+1}}^X$ .  $\square$

By composing  $\phi \circ \psi$  with the function obtained from applying Proposition 3.6 to  $D$  and  $E$ , we obtain the desired homomorphism.  $\square$

When  $\Gamma$  is non-archimedean, we obtain the following:

*Proof of Theorem 3.* To see that conditions (1) and (2) are mutually exclusive, note that if  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is  $\sigma$ -lacunary, then the Lusin-Novikov uniformization theorem and Proposition 2.7 ensure that  $E_\Gamma^X$  is essentially countable, so Proposition 3.8 and the remarks preceding it imply that there is no continuous embedding of  $\mathbb{E}_0^\mathbb{N}$  into  $E_\Gamma^X$ .

It remains to show that at least one of the two conditions holds. By [BK96, Theorem 7.1.2], the orbit equivalence relation induced by every open subgroup of  $\Gamma$  is Borel. The fact that  $\Gamma$  is non-archimedean therefore implies that the orbit relation induced by every open subset of  $\Gamma$  is Borel. Note that condition (2) is equivalent to the apparently weaker statement in which  $\phi$  is merely Borel, since we can always pass to a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $C \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  on which  $\phi$  is continuous, and then compose  $\phi \upharpoonright C$  with the function given by Proposition 3.4. By [BK96, Theorem 5.2.1], we can therefore assume that  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is continuous. Fix a decreasing neighborhood basis  $(\Delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $1_\Gamma$  of open subgroups of  $\Gamma$ . By replacing each  $\Delta_k$  with  $\Gamma_k \cap \Delta_k$ , we can assume that  $\Delta_k \subseteq \Gamma_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By Theorem 4.1, it is sufficient to show that if  $\phi: 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$  is a continuous homomorphism from  $((=_{2^\mathbb{N}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^{<\mathbb{N}} \times (=_{2^\mathbb{N}})^\mathbb{N})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(E_{\Delta_k}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then it is a homomorphism from  $((=_{2^\mathbb{N}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^\mathbb{N})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  to  $(E_{\Delta_k}^X)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Towards this end, suppose that  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c, d \in 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  are  $((=_{2^\mathbb{N}})^k \times \mathbb{E}_0^\mathbb{N})$ -equivalent, and for all  $n \geq k$ , let  $d_n$  be the element of  $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  that agrees with  $d$  on  $n \times \mathbb{N}$ , and with  $c$  off of it. Then  $d_n \rightarrow d$ , so  $\phi(d_n) \rightarrow \phi(d)$ . For all  $n \geq k$ , fix  $\delta_n \in \Delta_n$  such that  $\delta_n \cdot \phi(d_n) = \phi(d_{n+1})$ . Then  $\delta_n \cdots \delta_k \cdot \phi(c) = \phi(d_{n+1})$  for all  $n \geq k$ , so  $\delta_n \cdots \delta_k \cdot \phi(c) \rightarrow \phi(d)$ . As  $\delta_n \cdots \delta_m \in \Delta_m$  for all natural numbers  $n \geq m \geq k$ , it follows that  $(\delta_n \cdots \delta_k)_{n \geq k}$  is Cauchy with respect to every compatible complete right-invariant metric on  $\Gamma$ , and therefore converges to some  $\delta \in \Delta_k$  (since open subgroups of topological groups are necessarily closed). Then  $\delta_n \cdots \delta_k \cdot \phi(c) \rightarrow \delta \cdot \phi(c)$ , so  $\delta \cdot \phi(c) = \phi(d)$ , thus  $\phi(c) E_{\Delta_k}^X \phi(d)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Alexander Kechris for pointing out the second sentence of the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem 3, as well as the anonymous referee, whose suggestions greatly improved the readability of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [Bec98] Howard Becker, *Polish group actions: dichotomies and generalized elementary embeddings*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 2, 397–449. MR 1478843
- [BK96] Howard Becker and Alexander S. Kechris, *The descriptive set theory of Polish group actions*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 232, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR 1425877
- [Gre20] Jan Grebík,  *$\sigma$ -lacunary actions of Polish groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 8, 3583–3589. MR 4108862
- [GX14] Su Gao and Mingzhi Xuan, *On non-Archimedean Polish groups with two-sided invariant metrics*, Topology Appl. **161** (2014), 343–353. MR 3132374
- [HK01] Greg Hjorth and Alexander S. Kechris, *Recent developments in the theory of Borel reducibility*, Fund. Math. **170** (2001), no. 1-2, 21–52, Dedicated to the memory of Jerzy Łoś. MR 1881047
- [Kec95] A.S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR 1321597 (96e:03057)
- [Kle52] V. L. Klee, Jr., *Invariant metrics in groups (solution of a problem of Banach)*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 484–487. MR 0047250
- [KST99] A.S. Kechris, S. Solecki, and S. Todorcevic, *Borel chromatic numbers*, Adv. Math. **141** (1999), no. 1, 1–44. MR 1667145 (2000e:03132)

BENJAMIN D. MILLER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR MORGENSTERN PLATZ 1, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* benjamin.miller@univie.ac.at

*URL:* <https://homepage.univie.ac.at/benjamin.miller/>